

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/492,558	01/27/2000	James R. Gannoe	14635-012610US 1021		
27777	7590 02/06/2004		EXAMINER		
PHILIP S.	PHILIP S. JOHNSON			PHILOGENE, PEDRO	
	JOHNSON & JOHNSON ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA			PAPER NUMBER	
NEW BRU	NSWICK, NJ 08933-7003		3732	28	
			DATE MAILED: 02/06/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	· ·					
Office Action Summary	09/492,558	GANNOE ET AL				
omoonida damaay	Examin r	Art Unit	2#			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Pedro Philogene	3732	ddross			
Period for Reply			uu 033			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be writhin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr cause the application to become ABANDC	e timely filed  days will be considered time rom the mailing date of this NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 De	<u>ecember 2003</u> .					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	ix parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,8,14,15,17-19 and 49-54</u> is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>14,15 and 49-51</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5,8,17-19 and 52-54</u> is/are reject 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	۲.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Oil	ice Action of form P	10-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this Nationa	al Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mai 5)  Notice of Inform 6)  Other:	il Date al Patent Application (P기	ГО-152)			

#### Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of claims 1-3, 8, is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Koros et al and Green, II et al.,. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1,17-19, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Koros et al. (6,113,534).

With respect to claim 1, Koros et al discloses an apparatus (10) for stabilizing an epicardial surface of the heart comprising an shaft (16); and a foot (12) coupled to the shaft, the foot having a first arm, a second arm; as best seen in the FIGS., and a space (14) between the first and second arm, the first and second arm each having a contact surface for engaging the heart; as best seen in FIG.1; a proximal end and a distal end and a length defined between the proximal and distal ends and within a plane defined by the bottom surface of the foot; the length of the first arm being longer than the length of the second arm; as best seen in the FIGS.

Application/Control Number: 09/492,558

Art Unit: 3732

With respect to claims 17-19, the method steps, as set forth would have been inherently carried out in the operation of the device, as set forth above.

Claims 4,5, 8, 17-19, 52-54 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Green, II et al. (6,656,113).

With respect to claim 4, Green et al disclose an apparatus for stabilizing an epicardial surface of the heart comprising an shaft (3); a foot (765,762) coupled to the shaft, the foot having a contact surface for engaging the heart and a slot; as best seen in FIGS. 52 A-B, in which a vessel on the heart may be positioned, the slot being aligned with a central axis, the foot having a shape which is asymmetrical relative to the central axis; as best seen in FIGS. 52 A-B, 53,54.

With respect to claim 5, Green et al disclose all the limitations; as best seen in FIGS 52, A-B, 53, 54.

With respect to claim 8, Green et al disclose an apparatus (30) for stabilizing an epicardial surface of the heart comprising an shaft (3); a foot (765,762) coupled to the shaft, the foot having a contact surface for engaging the heart and a slot; as best seen in FIGS. 52 A-B, in which a vessel on the heart may be positioned, the slot defining an axis, wherein the foot is attached to the shaft at a location offset from the axis, the foot having a lateral side; and the shaft is attached to the foot along the lateral side; as best seen in FIGS. 38-52.

With respect to claim 52, Green, II et al discloses an apparatus (30) for stabilizing an epicardial surface of the heart comprising an shaft (3); a foot (765,762) having a first arm, a second arm; each having proximal and distal ends; as best seen in the FIGS.,

the first and second arm each having a contact surface for engaging the heart; as best seen in FIGS.39-55; a space (716) between the first and second arms defining a slot the slot defining a long axis of the foot; a connector (551) for detachably engaging the foot to the shaft; the connector located along a lateral side of the foot, and forming an axis which makes an angle with the long axis of the foot; as set forth in column 30, lines 36-48.

With respect to claims 53-54, Green II, et al disclose all the limitations; as set forth in column 30, lines 36-48.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koros et al. (6,113,534).

It is noted that Koros et al did not teach of an apparatus wherein the first arm is at least 30% longer than the second arm; as claimed by applicant. However, applicant fails to establish the criticality of such a percentage and the examiner believes that any percentage could have been used since the device would have performed equally as well with any given percentage.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 14,15, 49-51, are allowed.

Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,2,4,5,8,17-19, 52-54, have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (703) 308-2252. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P Shaver can be reached on (703) 308-2582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/492,558

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

PEDRO PHILOGENE PRIMAFIY EXAMINER Page 6

Pedro Philogene February 2, 2004